# METROLOGY FOR CLIMATE ACTION

Bureau International des

Mesures



supporting metrology for Earth observation with highly accurate radiative transfer simulation

V. Leroy, Y. Nollet, S. Schunke, N. Misk, Y. Govaerts

**26–30** SEPTEMBER 2022

## 1 | Radiative transfer models are essential to cal/val

Calibration: unbroken chain of comparison of measurement devices traceable to SI



This cannot be done with radiometers onboard satellites.





## 2 | RTMs can be improved

Modern radiometers require **simulated references with 1% accuracy**, but RTMs generally don't meet this requirement. Likely causes:

- Insufficiently accurate **absorption modelling** (may be the method or the input data)
- **1D plane-parallel** assumption (no planetary curvature, no relief, no adjacency)

#### Other issues:

- Difficult to use and fine-tune highly accurate models
- Compartmentalised community: subcommunities address
  issues but have no platform to share their advances
- Little benefit from modern technology

## 3 | Towards 1% accuracy with Eradiate

#### Highly accurate

- Monte Carlo ray tracing (Mitsuba renderer)
- 3D geometry
- State-of-the-art molecular absorption modelling

#### Built to integrate models more easily

- Flexible platform
- Free and open-source software
- High-quality documentation

#### Modern software technology

- Modular design (extensible)
- Robust testing process
- Intuitive Python interface

#### Main features

- Hyperspectral simulation of TOA signal
- 1D plane-parallel and spherical-shell atmosphere
- AFGL (1986) standard atmospheric profiles
- 3D surface (vegetation)

# 5 | Outlook

### **Better reliability**

- Compare vs other models, benchmarking (RAMI-V, RAMI4ATM)
- Compare vs observations, eventually TRUTHS

#### Better accuracy

- Better gaseous absorption modelling
- More scattering models



4 | Example: influence of planetary curvature

First example of SEVIRI time series (Libya 4 PICS)

- Grazing illumination in the morning and evening
- Atmospheric and aerosol data from ERA5 and CAMS
- RPV surface
- Plane-parallel (PPA) vs spherical-shell (SSA)
- WIP (simulations being improved, don't match observations very well)

#### **Qualitative observations**

- Hot spot correctly captured
- Morning and evening peaks only visible with
- SSA, yet to explain
- Important impact of SSA vs PPA at SZA > 60°

#### Better traceability

- Ship and handle SI-traceable data
- Use for uncertainty propagation











