

# The ANR COoL-AMmetropolis project : towards establishing virtuous scenarios for reducing greenhouse gas emissions of the Aix-Marseille-Provence metropolis (France)

## **Irène Xueref-Remy<sup>1</sup>**, L. Lelandais<sup>1</sup>, A. Riandet<sup>1</sup>, A. Armengaud<sup>2</sup>, F. Hernandez<sup>3</sup>, M.L. Lambert<sup>3</sup>, V. Masson<sup>4</sup>, A. Nicault<sup>5</sup>, G. Gille<sup>2</sup>, B. Scheeren<sup>6</sup>, S. Palstra<sup>6</sup>, H. Chen<sup>6</sup>, D. Lowry<sup>7</sup>, E. Nisbet<sup>7</sup>, J. Turnbull<sup>8</sup>, T. Salameh<sup>9</sup>, S. Sauvage<sup>9</sup>, M. Dufresne<sup>9</sup>, P.E. Blanc<sup>10</sup>, M. Claeys<sup>4</sup>, P. Bosio<sup>1</sup>, B. Nathan<sup>11</sup> and other ICOS station PIs

<sup>1</sup>Aix Marseille Univ, Avignon Université, CNRS, IRD, Institut Méditerranéen de Biodiversité et d'Ecologie marine et continentale (IMBE), Marseille/Aix-en-Provence, France. <sup>2</sup>Agence Régionale de surveillance de la qualité de l'air (ATMOSUD), Marseille, France. <sup>3</sup>Aix-Marseille Université, Institut d'Urbanisme et d'Aménagement Régional (IUAR/LIEU), Aix-en-Provence, France. <sup>4</sup>Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques (CNRM), Toulouse, France. <sup>5</sup>Groupe Régional d'Experts sur le Climat (GREC-SUD), Marseille, France. <sup>6</sup>Center for Isotope Research (CIO), Groningen, Netherlands. <sup>7</sup>Royal Holloway, University of London, England. <sup>8</sup>Radiocarbon lab, GNS Science, Lower Hutt, New Zealand. <sup>9</sup>IMT Nord-Europe, Institut Mines-Télécom, Univ. Lille, Centre for Energy and Environment, Lille, France. <sup>10</sup>Observatoire de Haute Provence, OSU Institut Pytheas, CNRS, Saint-Michel-l'Observatoire, France. <sup>11</sup>Formerly at Aix Marseille Univ, Avignon Université, CNRS, IRD, Institut Méditerranéen de Biodiversité et d'Ecologie marine et continentale (IMBE), Marseille/Aix-en-Provence, France.

**CONTEXT**: Cities and their industrial infrastructures are estimated to emit more than 70% of global fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Seto et al, 2014). Furthermore, methane sources are not well known at the regional/urban/industrial site scales. The Aix-Marseille metropolis is located in the south-east of France in the SUD-PACA region. It is supposed to emit about 15% of national fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (i.e. 10.4 MtC/yr, source : ATMOSUD) and about 4.6% of national anthropogenic CH4 emissions (i.e. 2.65 MteqCO2, source : ATMOSUD). Its emissions are delivered at a fine scale (1h, 1x1 km<sup>2</sup>) by the regional air quality monitoring agency ATMOSUD, but these emissions have never been assessed independently. Our project aims at assessing independently the ATMOSUD CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions inventory using top-down approaches in order to reducing its uncertainties and to helping local and regional stakeholders to take efficient emissions mitigation actions towards reaching carbon neutrality in 2050 or earlier. We organize regular seminars with stakeholders to discuss about our results and to improve with them the definition of virtuous and realistic scenarios. We will model these scenarios at the fine scale using the MESO-NH national meteorological model coupled with the ISBA-A-gs vegetation model and the TEB urban canopy model.

#### **OBJECTIVES**:

✓ Assessing and improving current emission inventory estimates of the Aix-Marseille-Provence metropolis through atmospheric observation-based approaches.

 $\checkmark$  Developing a high resolved CO<sub>2</sub> modeling framework based on the MESO-NH model including emission inventory estimates and a dynamic modeling tool of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from buildings, to model atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> urban plumes.

✓ Assessing the performances of the modeling framework by comparing meteorological, boundary layer height and CO<sub>2</sub> measurements with the modeled fields.

✓ **Defining vertuous CO<sub>2</sub> emissions mitigation scenarios** together with local stakeholders and socio-economic actors taking into account current environmental laws and sobriety approaches, at the horizon 2030 and 2050.

✓ Modeling these scenarios by emission sectors at high resolution on the AMP metropolis (including e.g. building isolation, air conditioning mitigation, greener mobility)

FOCUS OF THIS POSTER : Here we present results on atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> variability and source apportionment in the area of the Aix-Marseille-Provence metropolis, France.

#### **REGIONAL AND METROPOLITAN CO2 EMISSIONS :**

According to ATMOSUD inventory, 96% of anthropogenic emissions from Aix-Marseille-Provence metropolis come from the burning of fossil fuels (mostly from the industry sector, as there is a very large industrial complex in the west part of the Aix-Marseille metropolis).

#### **REGIONAL ATMOSPHERIC CO2 AND CH4 NETWORK :**

We developed an atmospheric  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  observation network in and around the Aix-Marseille-Provence metropolis made of 6 sites equiped of CRDS analyzers calibrated on the WMO-2007 scale. The data precision and



### VARIABILITY OF ATMOSPHERIC CO<sub>2</sub>: Xueref-Remy et al, 2022, in subm.



At both ICOS remote sites (ERSA and OHP), atmospheric  $CO_2$  shows a clear seasonal cycle of about 10 ppm of amplitude and an annual increase of about 2.7 ppm almost as in Mauna Loa, Hawaii. Large spikes of  $CO_2$  are found in Marseille (CAV), especially in winter (higher emissions from heating and lower boundary layer height) and at low wind speed (accumulation of emissions on the city), giving rise to a  $CO_2$  urban dome/plume. At the urban coastal site (SME), the coastal breezes regime controls the  $CO_2$  variability with higher  $CO_2$  concentrations for airmasses coming from land and passing over the city in a shallow boundary layer (land breezes, at night) than for those coming from the sea (sea breezes, daytime).



#### **ATMOSPHERIC CO<sub>2</sub> SOURCES APPORTIONMENT:** Lelandais, Xueref-Remy et al, EGU 2022

To assess the role of fossil fuel combustion vs modern sources on the urban CO<sub>2</sub> plume of Marseille, we performed a <sup>14</sup>C in CO<sub>2</sub> analysis in the winter 2020 at the CAV site, which shows that at the maximum of the morning traffic peak, about 87% of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> comes from the combustion of fossil fuel sources. We also carried on a <sup>13</sup>C analysis in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> which gives a source signature of -44 permil at the maximum of the morning traffic peak. Although in the litterature this value is close to the signature of natural gas, we can not conclude in our case year as we need to measure the signature of local natural gas that comes from Algeria and which is not given in the litterature yet. This is our next perspectives, with the characterization of the signatures of the main local 13C sources (wood, grasses, oil...).



References : Lelandais, Xueref-Remy et al (2022), EGU conference, Vienna, Austria. Seto et al (2014) eds. Cambridge, UK and New York, NY: Cambridge University Press. Xueref-Remy et al (2022), Atm. Env., in subm.

$δ^{13}$ Cs = a * $δ^{13}$ Cff + b * $δ^{13}$ Cmodern $δ^{13}$ Cs = X * $δ^{13}$ Cff + (1- X) * $δ^{13}$ Cmodern				Technics period 6 <sup>13</sup> Cs 6 <sup>13</sup> Cff (6 <sup>13</sup> Cs corrected)		Keeling plot					
						-36,07 -2		14h	Evening		
								-27,74	4 -29,8		
			(δ <sup>13</sup>					-42,28	8 -36,41		
X% of CO2ff Morning : 58 (44-87) 14h : 20.4 Evening : 46.71	-24‰ δ <sup>13</sup> Cbio	Reference	d13C traffic (permil)	d13C liq (permil)	d13C gas (permil)	d13C solid (permil)	d13C bio d1 (permil)		d13Cs (permil)	d13Cff (permil)	
		Venturi et al 2021	-27	-28.9	-44		-27 to		-27 to -36		
		Clark and Thorne 2003 Widory and Javoy 2003 Pang et al 2016	-32 to -27		-44 to -37	-24.8					
		Venturi et al 2020	-27				-20 -30		-26 / -22 jul -30.6 / -25.5 oct -36.4 / -30.4 nov		
		Gorcka and lewicka 2013 Zimnoch et al 2009	-27		-40/-42		-26.5 -20		-27.6 / -25.7		
		Lopez et al 2013		-26,5	-41	-24.1	-24.7			-36.1 / -36.2	